



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

*HC*

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/183,605	10/30/98	SHUSTER	B SHUS803

GREGORY A. PICCIONELLI  
BRULL AND PICCIONELLI  
1901 AVENUE OF THE STARS  
TWENTIETH FLOOR  
LOS ANGELES CA 90067

LM12/0613

EXAMINER

LEE, T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2758	<i>2</i>

DATE MAILED: 06/13/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/183,605

Applicant(s)

SHUSTER, BRIAN

Examiner

Tammy T. Lee

Art Unit

2758

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 October 1998.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been:
1. ☐ received.
2. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code / Serial Number) \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(e).

## Attachment(s)

- 15) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 16) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 17) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_
- 18) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 19) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 20) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-18 are presented for examination.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murray, US patent no. 6,061,659.

4. As per claim 2, Murray teaches that a method for controlling a user's access to information in a computer network having a recipient computer and a provider computer, the method comprising:

requesting access to first information content from the recipient computer (client 12, fig

1) over the network;

transferring the requested first information content to the recipient computer from the provider computer (internet access provider 14, fig 1);

transferring additional software to the recipient computer from the provider computer with requested information (content specified 41a, fig 1) and wherein the additional software comprises at least one program routine for interacting with further software (an object manager

Art Unit: 2758

30, fig 1) that operates with the recipient computer and that identifies second information content which the user may request;

activating a routine in the further software on the recipient computer to request access to the second information content;

operating the recipient computer under at least partial control of the additional software to provide access to an information content in response to the activation of the further software routine that requests access to other information content (col. 3, lines 14-55).

Official notice is taken that provide access to third information content in response to second information content is well known.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the well known teachings to Murray for providing a system to access information contents in response to prior request because it allows a user to maximize attention of specific content.

5. As per claim 3, Murray discloses that the step of requesting first information content comprising transmitting a request to access a first internet site over the internet and wherein the step of transferring first information content comprises transferring HTML file associated with the first internet site (col. 4, lines 38-46 and col. 5, line 9-col. 6, line 39).

6. As per claims 4 and 8, Murray discloses that the step of transferring additional software comprises transferring browser script which includes at least one program routine interacting with a browser program on the recipient computer (col. 9, line 37-49).

7. As per claims 5 and 9, Murray discloses that the step of activating a routine comprises activating a routine for requesting access to a second Internet site (col. 3, lines 33-55).

Art Unit: 2758

8. As per claims 6 and 10, Murray discloses the invention substantially as claimed; however, Murray does not disclose that the step of providing access to third information content comprises transferring at least one HTML file associated with a third internet site to the recipient computer, wherein the third internet site is different than the second internet site.

Official notice is taken that providing access to third information content comprises transferring at least one HTML file associated with a third internet site to a recipient computer, wherein the third internet site is different than second internet site is well known.

9. As per claim 7, Murray discloses the invention substantially as claimed; however, Murray does not disclose that the step of activating a routine comprises activating a browser function selected from the group consisting of back, forward, home, favorite sites and bookmarked sites.

Official notice is taken that the step of activating a routine comprises activating a browser function selected from the group consisting of back, forward, home, favorite sites and bookmarked sites is well known.

10. Claims 1, 11-18 have similar limitations as claims 2-10; therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.

### **Conclusion**

11. The prior art made of record and relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclose:

a. System for providing easy access to the World Wide Web utilizing a published list of preselected internet locations together with their unique multi-digit jump codes, by Gagnon, US patent no. 6049835

Art Unit: 2758

b. Performing electronic commerce on the internet providing links from product manufacturers to authorized dealers where the authorized dealer provides a custom order interface for the manufacturer's products, by Brett et al, US patent no. 5,970,472

12. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. Failure to respond within the period for response will result in Abandonment of the application (see 35 USC 133, MPEP 710.02, 710.02(b)).

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tammy Lee, whose telephone number is (703) 308-9119. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, SPE Ahmad F. Matar, can be reached at (703) 305-4731. Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:


Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to: (703) 305-7201 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED"

or "DRAFT"). Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal

Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Tammy Lee  
Patent Examiner  
June 7, 2000

  
ZARNI MAUNG  
PRIMARY EXAMINER